

From Allostatic Load to Allostatic State - An Endogenous Sympathetic Strategy to deal with Chronic Anxiety and Stress?

*E Ullmann^{1,2}, SW Perry³, J Licinio³, Wong ML³, E Dremencov⁴, E Zavjalov⁵, OB Shevelev⁵, NV Khotshkin⁵, GV Koncevaya⁵, AS Khotshkina⁵, MP Moshkin⁵, MS Lapshin⁶, MV Komelkova⁶, IV Feklicheva⁶, OB Tseilikman⁶, OP Cherkasova⁷, KS Bhui⁸, E Jones⁹, C Kirschbaum¹⁰, SR Bornstein^{1,11} & V Tseilikman⁶

¹Department of Medicine, Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany

²Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

³College of Medicine, SUNY Upstate Medical University, New York, United States of America

⁴Centre for Biosciences, Institute of Molecular Physiology and Genetics, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

⁵Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Science (SB-RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia

⁶School of Medical Biology, South Ural State University, Chelyabinsk, Russia

⁷Biophysics Laboratory, Institute of Laser Physics, SB-RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia

⁸Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

⁹Institute of Psychiatry Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

¹⁰Department of Psychology, Biopsychology, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany

¹¹Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, Endocrinology and Diabetes, Kings College London, London, UK

Dr. Enrico Ullmann, M.D. (*corresponding author)

Doctor of Medicine

Department of Medicine

Technical University of Dresden

Fetscherstraße 74, 01307 Dresden

And

Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics

University of Leipzig

Liebigstraße 20 a, 04103 Leipzig

Phone: +49 351 458 5955

Fax: +49 351 458 6398

E-mail: enrico.ullmann@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Prof. Dr. Stefan R. Bornstein, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor of Medicine

Director and Chair

Department of Medicine

Technical University of Dresden

Fetscherstraße 74, 01307 Dresden

And

Rayne Institute, Division of Diabetes & Nutritional Sciences, Endocrinology and Diabetes,

Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine,

Kings College London, London, UK

Phone: +49 351 458 5955

Fax: +49 351 458 6398

E-mail: stefan.bornstein@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Prof. Dr. Clemens Kirschbaum

Professor of Biopsychology

Department of Psychology

Technical University of Dresden

Zellescher Weg 19, 01069 Dresden

Phone: +49 351 463 39660

Fax: +49 351 463 37274

E-mail: ck@biopsych.tu-dresden.de

Prof. Julio Licinio, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor of Medicine/Psychiatry

Dean, College of Medicine

SUNY Upstate Medical University

750 East Adams St, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

Phone: +1 315 464 9720

E-mail: licinioj@upstate.edu

Professor Vadim Tseilikman
School of Medical Biology
South Ural State University
Prospekt Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk
454080 Russia
E-mail: vadimed@yandex.ru

Professor Olga Tseilikman
School of Medical Biology
South Ural State University
Prospekt Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk
454080 Russia
E-mail: diol2008@yandex.ru

Professor Kamaldeep Bhui
Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine
Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry
Queen Mary University of London
Charterhouse Square
London EC1M 6BQ
Phone: +44 20 7882 2012
E-mail: k.s.bhui@qmul.ac.uk

Professor Edgar Jones, D.Phil., Ph.D.
Institute of Psychiatry Psychology & Neuroscience (IoPPN)
Weston Education Centre,
10 Cutcombe Road,
London SE5 9RJ
Phone: +44 20 7848 5413
E-mail: edgar.jones@kcl.ac.uk

Dr. Seth W. Perry, Ph.D.
College of Medicine
SUNY Upstate Medical University
750 East Adams St, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA
Phone: +1 315 464 9720
E-mail: PerrySe@upstate.edu

Dr. Inna Feklicheva, Ph.D.
School of Medical Biology
South Ural State University
Prospekt Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk
454080 Russia
E-mail: noula@yandex.ru

Dr. Maxim Lapshin, Ph.D.
School of Medical Biology
South Ural State University
Prospekt Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk
454080 Russia
E-mail: lapshin1982@yandex.ru

Dr. Maria Komelkova, Ph.D.
School of Medical Biology
South Ural State University
Prospekt Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk
454080 Russia
E-mail: mkomelkova@mail.ru

Oleg B. Shevelev
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: shevelev.oleg.nsk@gmail.com

Dr. Galina V. Koncevaya, Ph.D.
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: g-kon@ngs.ru

Dr. Nikita V. Khotskin, Ph.D.
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: khotskin@bionet.nsc.ru

Professor Mikhail P. Moshkin
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: mmp@bionet.nsc.ru

Anna S. Khotshkina
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: dotcenko@bionet.nsc.ru

Dr. Evgeniy L. Zavjalov, Ph.D.
Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG)
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science
Prospekt Lavrentyeva 10,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: zavjalov@bionet.nsc.ru

Dr. Eliyahu Dremencov, Ph.D.
Institute of Molecular Physiology and Genetics"
Centre for Biosciences
Slovak Academy of Sciences
Dúbravská cesta 9
840 05 Bratislava, Slovak Republic
E-mail: eliyahu.dremencov@savba.sk

Professor Olga P. Cherkasova
Head of Biophysics Laboratory
Institute of Laser Physics
Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Ac. Lavrentieva ave., 15B, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
Phone: +7 383 330 99 22; +7 965 823 11 92
Fax: +7 383 333 20 67
E-mail: o.p.cherkasova@gmail.com

Professor Ma-Li Wong
College of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry
SUNY Upstate Medical University
750 East Adams St, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA
Phone: +1 315 464 3207
E-mail: WongMa@upstate.edu

Abstract

The concepts of allostatic load and overload, i.e. a dramatic increase in the allostatic load that predisposes to disease, have been extensively described in the literature. Herein we present data to support the hypothesis that some allostatic states (i.e. chronic adjustments of physiology away from normal homeostasis) may represent adaptive, rather than pathologic, mechanisms to deal with chronic anxiety and stress. In a rat model, we demonstrate that chronic stress induces adaptive changes in the limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (LHPA) axis, and in lactate and glutamate/glutamine metabolism. Compared to rats with passive defensive (PD) responses to stress, rats with an active offensive (AO) responses had lower anxiety and plasma glucocorticoid levels, and increased lactate and decreased glutamate in the amygdala but not in the hippocampus. These data suggest that allostatic states may represent adaptive endogenous autonomic nervous system (ANS) strategies to calm the animal and restore homeostasis following chronic fear and stress.

Abstract: 146 words

Words: 3444

Figures: 6

Table: 1

Introduction

Allostasis (an adaptive process that adjusts homeostasis after acute stress), allostatic load (the physiological “costs” of maintaining allostasis), and allostatic overload (an excessive and likely pathologic increase in allostatic load), have been described in the literature (Ramsay and Woods, 2014). Neuroendocrinological compensation of chronic stress acts as a homeostatic physiological process that should improve health and prolong life (De Kloet et al., 1998). A reinterpretation of Selye’s theory of General Adaptation Syndrome clarifies that stress mediators can have both damaging and protective effects (McEwen, 2005). Biological changes that occur during the adaption and exhaustion stages are referred to as allostasis and allostatic overload; they include not only activation of the limbic hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (LHPA) axis and its downstream effector pathways, but also changes in immune responses, cardiovascular and energy metabolism, and hypothalamus-mediated behavior (Korte et al., 2005). The paradigms of allostatic load and overload have been demonstrated in various **human and animal models**, and the glucocorticoid cortisol is implicated as one of four primary allostatic mediators (Seeman et al., 1997). **An allostatic state is defined by chronic deviation of regulatory systems away from their normal state of operation, to establish a new set point** (Koob and Le Moal, 2001).

The limbic system, including the hippocampus and amygdala, is evidenced to mediate many neurodevelopmental consequences of childhood abuse. The hippocampus and amygdala are both densely populated with glucocorticoid receptors (GR), and in adolescents with major depression, increased amygdala-hippocampal volume ratios were associated with increased **anxiety-related indicators** of allostatic load (MacMillan et al., 2003), perhaps due to stimulation of dendritic arborization and new spine formation on pyramidal cells (Morimoto et al., 1996). GR also localize on glial cells in the cerebral cortex, mainly the prefrontal cortex, which **in contrast** shows decreased gray matter volume after stress-related experiences (Hanson et al., 2010). The anterior cingulate cortex also contains a high density of GR (Sarrieau et al., 1986), and reduced anterior cingulate cortex volume is one of the most consistent findings in subjects with chronic mental stress. Moreover, increased cortisol concentration was seen in depressed subjects, whereas subjects with chronic mental strain showed decreased cortisol levels (Morris et al., 2012). Furthermore, in historically traumatized populations, for example Jewish people who were three generations removed from the Holocaust, the recall of parental overprotection was associated with higher hair-steroid

concentrations and dampened LHPA axis activity when compared with German and Russian-German subjects who recalled overprotective parenting behavior (Ullmann et al., 2018). **These findings suggest allostatic load and overload scenarios leading to new allostatic set-point, mediated by glucocorticoid signaling.**

Schwabe and Wolf (Schwabe and Wolf, 2013) formulated two basic behavioral theories to understand stress responses: "stimulus-response" and "spatial." The former is characterized by an active offensive and/or defensive behavior, and the latter is characterized by passive avoidance. The LHPA axis plays a key role in the stress response. The stimulus-response and spatial stress response strategies involve different elements of the LHPA system; the stimulus-response strategy is associated with activation of the hippocampus and striatum, whereas the spatial strategy is linked to the hippocampus-amygdala circuit (Vogel et al., 2017). The stimulus-response and spatial modes were initially characterized in humans (Schwabe et al., 2007), however, similar predictable stress reactions also occur in mice (Schwabe et al., 2008).

Physiological mechanism of "Passive defensive behavior"

Defensive behavior or "freezing" – a universal fear response – can be found in many species aiming to avoid detection by a predator, to optimize perceptual and attentional processes to prepare for and decide "fight or flight" (Hagenaars et al., 2014). Freezing may play a key role in the pathophysiology of threat-induced disorders including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Rizvi et al., 2008). Physiological responses to freezing arise from the conditioned and unconditioned fear response systems including the sympathomimetic amines (epinephrine and norepinephrine) from the sympathomedullary system, and glucocorticoids from the LHPA system (Hagenaars et al., 2014) (**Figure 1**). Sympathomedullary system responses are regulated by sympathetic and parasympathetic impulses from the hypothalamus, whereas fight-or-flight and freezing behavior are regulated by the autonomic nervous system (ANS). LHPA axis activation with cortisol release occurs in parallel to gradual increases in the stimulation threshold (i.e. decreased reactivity) to restore homeostasis (**Figure 1**).

In animal studies, adrenalectomized subjects exhibit deficits in freezing behavior, which can be restored by corticosterone (CORT) injections (Takahashi and Rubin, 1993). Increased cortisol levels were also associated with freezing in non-stressed rhesus monkeys (Kalin et al., 1998). Moreover, maternal nurturing behavior reduces LHPA activity in pups (Liu et al., 1997), which in turn is associated with

freezing (Champagne et al., 2008). Humans presented with pictures of mutilation exhibited bradyarrhythmia (reduced asynchronous heart rate) and less body sway compared to naive controls, which suggests activation of a neural circuit that promotes defensive survival (Azevedo et al., 2005). In addition, more freezing behavior occurs in toddlers that have higher cortisol levels and lower heart rates, although fear-behavior was not associated with cortisol levels, indicating different physiological pathways (Buss et al., 2004). While physiological responses to acute stress events have been well studied, we have limited understanding of the processes for restoring homeostasis in the context of chronic stress.

We propose an integrative view whereby allostatic states, i.e. prolonged regulatory system departure from its regular functioning level, may increase stress resistance by regulating allostatic load.

Glutamate (Glu) – an excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitter

Glu is a major excitatory neurotransmitter, excess Glu causes damage and inflammation, and CORT acts directly via membrane associated mineralocorticoid receptors (MR) and GR to cause Glu release (McEwen, 2017). Activation of glutamatergic projections to limbic structures, such as the amygdala, and to brainstem structures, such as the solitary nucleus, is implicated in the stress response (Mathew et al., 2001). Thus, higher mGluR5 (metabotropic glutamate receptor 5) and lower FKBP5 (Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FK Binding Protein 5) expression were observed in cortical regions of subjects with PTSD (Holmes et al., 2017). Dysfunctional Glu neurotransmission is a cardinal feature of stress-related psychiatric conditions, especially PTSD (Averill et al., 2017). In a rat model of predator stress with implications for PTSD, Glu receptors activate the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) (Adamec et al., 1999; Adamec et al., 2010).

Lactate (Lact) – an endogenous metabolite to deal with chronic stress

Enhanced physical activity with increased glycolysis leading to higher Lact levels may play key roles in PTSD (Rogatzki et al., 2015). Downstream of glycolysis, Lact is produced as a byproduct of anaerobic respiration in oxygen-depleted muscle cells following heavy exertion. Increased glucose metabolism in the amygdala of depressed subjects, and the positive effects of exercise in subjects with fatigue, suggest possible antidepressant effects of Lact (Mustian et al., 2017; Magistretti and Allaman, 2018). On the other hand, flashbacks, a cardinal symptom of PTSD, were precipitated in Vietnam veterans following intravenous administration of sodium Lact (Rainey et al., 1987).

Objectives

The aims of this study are to: 1) determine whether there are differences in allostatic states for dealing with chronic stress in a threat-induced paradigm, and 2) test whether greater physical activity (i.e. an AOR) during chronic anxiety (predator stress) is accompanied by altered LHPA axis (CORT) signaling and increased anaerobic glycolysis (lactate) in the amygdala, as may occur in the brain under conditions of high metabolic demand (Riske et al., 2017) and/or hypoxia (Schurr and Rigor, 1998) consequent to greater physical activity.

We hypothesized that rats engaging in active (offensive) stress responses after chronic predator stress will show decreased anxiety and plasma CORT, and decreased Glu and higher Lact in the amygdala, compared to rats engaging in passive (defensive) stress responses.

Methods

Experiments were performed with 28 male Sprague-Dawley rats (3 months-old) weighing 240–260g, from the specific pathogen-free (SPF) vivarium of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics (ICG), Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Science (SB-RAS) (Novosibirsk, Russia). Rats were housed in sibling pairs in standard ventilated cages (IVC BlueLine, Tecniplast, Italy). Water and granulated forage (Ssniff, Soest, Germany) were given *ad libitum*. Animals were kept in a 14 h light (2am-4pm) – 10 h dark (4pm-2am) cycle, temperature (22–24°C), and relative humidity (40–50%) controlled environment. The behavioral testing was always initiated at the start of the dark cycle, when rodents are most active.

For our experiments, we used the standard elevated plus maze (EPM) test apparatus TS0502-R3 (<http://www.openscience.ru/index.php?page=ts&item=002>) with the following dimensions: H of the closed branch = 0.3 m; H of the open branch = 0.01 m; length of the branch = 0.5 m; branch width = 0.14 m; height from the floor = 0.55 m. The animals were initially placed in the center of the EPM, and were considered to have "entered" a branch when all 4 feet were within the branch. Their behavior was evaluated using the 3D animal tracking system "EthoStudio" (<http://ethostudio.com/new/en/about/>), and the collector of the behavior previously did not work with any rats of our groups.

All animal experiments conformed to the requirements of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) and the International Council for Laboratory Animal Science (ICLAS) as described in “International Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research Involving Animals” (Geneva, Switzerland, 1990). The handling of all animals was identical. The study protocol was approved by the Committee for Bioethics and Humane Treatment of Laboratory Animals at South Ural State University, Russia.

Behavioral measurements

Passive defensive and active offensive behavior to predator scent stress (PSS)

Following exposure to the predator odor stimulus, the rat's phenotypic behavioral pattern was classified into one of two groups (Table 1): Active offensive response (AOR), i.e. rats exhibiting the “stimulus-response” behavior pattern, and passive defensive response (PDR) groups. For example, increased grooming behavior is a characteristic response to increased stress and anxiety in PDR rats (Estanislau, 2012), whereas higher activity levels, increased marking of territory (by urination), and dominant sexual behavior are characteristic responses to stress in AOR animals (Le Moene and Agmo, 2017). The control group was exposed to neutral odor. For our stress protocol, fresh odor sources were produced daily by urine from one cat and used on the same day. Every day, 240 g of sawdust from a single manufacturer (Cats Best EkoPlus by J.RETTENMAIER & SÖHNE, GERMANY) was used to generate a one-day source of urine contaminated sawdust. After being collected from the cat tray, the urine contaminated sawdust was thoroughly mixed, put in a plastic container, stored at room temperature for 3-5 hours before the experiment started, and separated into 12 x 20g portions for 12 cages (2 rats per cage). The animals were exposed to the odor every day between 1-2 pm, as follows: in each rat cage 20 g of urine contaminated sawdust was placed in the Petri dish covered by clear nylon tissue for 10 min, and during that period their behavior was registered. A total of 12 cages, each housing two rats, were studied per day. The cages with control animals got the clear sawdust without cat urine contamination. A total of 14 cages, each housing two rats, were studied per day. Animals were grouped by body weight.

Anxiety measurements – EPM test

The PSS outcome was evaluated using the **EPM** test (Lapiz-Bluhm et al., 2008;Serova et al., 2014). This test is widely used for measuring anxiety-like behavior based on the natural aversion of rats for open and elevated areas, as well as on their natural spontaneous exploratory behavior in novel environments. Variables recorded included time spent in open and closed arms of the maze, and number of entries into the open and closed arms. The Anxiety Index (AI) was calculated as follows: $AI = 1 - [(seconds\ in\ open\ arms / seconds\ on\ maze) + (number\ of\ entries\ into\ open\ arms / number\ of\ all\ entries)]/2$ (Cohen et al., 2008). Anxious animals spend less time in the open arms of the maze.

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)

Neurometabolites of the amygdala **and the hippocampus** were measured on a horizontal tomograph with a magnetic field of 11.7 Tesla (Bruker, Biospec 117/16 USR, Germany). The rats were anesthetized with gas (Isoflurane; Baxter Healthcare Corp., Deerfield, IL) using a Univentor 400 Anesthesia Unit (Univentor, Zejtun, Malta). The tomograph table contained a water circuit that maintained a surface temperature of 30°C, to preserve animal body temperature during the test. A pneumatic respiration sensor (SA Instruments, Stony Brook, NY), placed under the lower body, controlled the depth of anesthesia.

All proton spectra of the rat amygdala and hippocampus were recorded with transmitter volume (T11232V3) and rat brain receiver surface (T11425V3) ¹H radiofrequency coils (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). High-resolution T2-weighted images of the rat brain in three (axial, sagittal, and coronal) dimensions (section thickness, 0.5 mm; field of view, 2.5 cm × 2.5 cm for axial and 3.0 cm × 3.0 cm for sagittal and coronal sections respectively; matrix of 256 × 256 dots) were recorded by rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement (TurboRARE), with the pulse sequence parameters TE = 11 ms, TR = 2.5 s for correct positioning of the spectroscopic voxels. Voxel dimensions were 3.0 mm × 1.5 mm × 3.0 mm for amygdala and 1.5 mm × 3.0 mm × 3.0 mm for hippocampus. All voxels were manually placed according to a structural T2-weighted MRI images (**Figures 2, 3**). All proton spectra were recorded by spatially localized single-voxel stimulated echo acquisition mode (STEAM) spectroscopy with the pulse sequence parameters TE = 3 ms, TR = 5 s, 120 accumulations. Uniformity of the magnetic field was tuned within the selected voxel

using FastMap (Gruetter, 1993) before each spectroscopic recording. The water signal was inhibited with a variable pulse power and optimized relaxation delays (VAPOR) sequence (Tkac et al., 1999).

Processing of ^1H spectra

The experimental ^1H magnetic resonance spectra were processed and the quantitative composition of metabolites was determined with a custom program similar to the LC Model software package (Provencher, 1993), which assume that the spectrum of a mixture of known compounds is a linear combination of analyzed components. The details of the data processing were published (Moshkin et al., 2014). The baseline correction is conducted automatically by the program in order to determine the spectral base-line for fitting of the spectrum obtained by ^1H MRS. The process of fitting is presented on the real-time plot (**Figure 4**), and the fitting results data are stored in numerical form. The program capabilities allow the following 12 brain metabolites to be fitted to the MRS spectrum: N-acetylaspartate (NAA); phosphorylethanolamine (PEA); choline compounds (Cho); creatine + phosphocreatine (Cr + PCr); myo-inositol (mlno, Ins); alanine (Ala); lactate (Lac); glutamate + glutamine (Glu + Gln); aspartate (Asp); γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA); glycine (Gly); and taurine (Tau).

Glucocorticoid measurements

Between 11:00 AM and 1:00 PM on experimental day 28, rats were sacrificed by decapitation and blood samples were collected in tubes with heparin. Blood samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min at +4 °C. Plasma samples were aliquoted and stored in a -80°C freezer until use. After thawing, plasma CORT concentrations were measured by ELISA (Cusabio ELISA Kit, Texas, USA) per manufacturer's instructions. The assay sensitivity was 0.25 ng/ml, and the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were both <5%.

Experimental Protocol

To induce chronic stress, rats were exposed to cat urine scent in a Petri dish with litter for 10 min daily for 10 days (20 rats were submitted to stress exposure; 8 control rats were exposed to a neutral scent). All procedures were performed between

1:00 and 2:00 PM. During the scent exposure protocol, anxiety behavior was captured daily via web-camera. The protocol for modeling PSS, the evaluation of stress-related behavior and anxiety, and measurements of metabolites (CORT, Glu/Gln, Lact) in plasma and brain, were obtained according to the following flowchart:

Days 1-10: PSS

Days 11-22: Rest

Day 23: Elevated plus-maze test

Day 27: Amygdala metabolite measurement by MRS

Day 28: Euthanasia, harvest blood and organs

Data Analyses

Data were analyzed with STATISTICA 10.0 and MS Excel software. Quantitative data are presented as mean \pm SEM. One-way ANOVA with Fisher-LSD post-hoc tests were used to compare all outcome measures between two groups (e.g. control vs. AOR; control vs. PDR; AOR vs. PDR). $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Behavioral strategies to deal with chronic anxiety-stress

Chronically stressed rats were divided into 2 phenotypes based on their behavior in anxiety-related situations, as described above. The first phenotype was labeled AOR, i.e. rats exhibiting the “stimulus-response” behavior pattern ($n=9$). The second phenotype was labeled PDR, i.e. rats exhibiting the “spatial” stress response strategy ($n=11$). There were significant differences between the mean freezing frequencies of PDR and AOR rats (5.16 ± 1.85 and 2.2 ± 0.85 , mean \pm SEM, respectively; $P < 0.005$).

The animals' anxiety levels were evaluated using the EPM test 14 days after PSS cessation in AOR and PDR PSS exposed groups and controls. There was a significant influence of behavioral phenotype in response to PSS on relative number of branch entries ($F_{2,24}=10.84$, $p<0.001$), exploring ($F_{2,24}=14.3$, $p<0.001$), and time

($F_{2,24}=21.04$, $p<0.0001$) in open arms (OA). PSS led to increased OA entries, OA exploring, and OA times in the AOR rats versus the PDR rats or unstressed controls. The behavioral phenotype also had a significant impact on the relative numbers of entries ($F_{2,24}=10.84$, $p<0.001$) and time in closed arms ($F_{2,24}=10.84$, $p<0.001$). PSS led to less closed arms entries and closed arm times in the AOR rats. However, exploring in OA was similar in both groups subjected to PSS, and there were no differences with control. Overall, the different behavioral phenotypes in response to PSS exposures were characterized by the differences in anxiety levels 14 days post PSS cessation. AOR rats exhibited lower anxiety levels at 14-days post PSS compared to PDR rats.

Long-term consequences of PSS on anxiety levels (Figure 5a)

An animal's behavioral phenotype in response to PSS significantly influenced anxiety measurements by the EPM test ($F_{2,24}=12.57$, $p<0.005$). AOR rats had lower anxiety levels (as they spent more time in the open arms of the maze) compared with PDR rats (0.79 ± 0.031 and 0.97 ± 0.014 , respectively; $p<0.005$), as well as compared with control (0.98 ± 0.017 ; $p<0.005$). The anxiety levels between control and PDR rats were not significantly different.

Long-term consequences of PSS on plasma CORT levels (Figure 5b)

CORT levels in AOR rats ($12.49\text{pg/mg}\pm2.03$) were decreased compared to control ($42.4\text{pg/mg}\pm9.46$) and PDR ($49.2\text{pg/mg}\pm11.38$) rats ($p<0.05$ and $p<0.01$, respectively). No significance differences in plasma CORT levels were found between control and PDR rats.

Long-term consequences of PSS on amygdala metabolites in vivo (Figure 5c/d)

Proton MRS was used to quantify amygdala metabolomics in control, AOR, and PDR rats after the final PSS exposure. There was a significant impact of behavioral phenotype on amygdala Lact concentrations ($F_{2,24}=4.68$, $p<0.05$). AOR rats exhibited increased Lact concentrations compared to PDR rats (5.81 ± 0.93 and 2.32 ± 0.76 , respectively; $p<0.05$). There was no significant difference in Lact concentrations between PDR and control (3.97 ± 0.98 ; $P>0.1$) rats. Furthermore, there was significantly ($F_{2,24}=3.65$, $p<0.05$) less mean Glu and Gln in AOR versus PDR rats (13.41 ± 0.9 and 17.68 ± 1.42 , respectively; $p<0.05$). No significant differences in the concentrations of other metabolites were found between the groups (one-way ANOVA). Thus, as with

the other parameters measured, the significant differences were found only in the AOR group (when compared to PDR or control groups), as we hypothesized. Time in OA in AOR rats was positively correlated with lactate level in amygdala ($r=0.721$, $p=0.024$) and negatively correlated with plasma corticosterone level ($r=-0.645$, $p=0.032$). In addition, plasma corticosterone level was negatively correlated with lactate concentration in amygdala ($r=-0.721$, $p=0.017$). We used the hippocampus, a limbic structure, as control and we found no significant differences between AOR and PDR, or between AOR/PDR and control (**Figure 6**). Spectral pictures of an AOR rat, a PDR rat, and a control rat are demonstrated in **Figure 7**.

Discussion

This study demonstrated decreased CORT, Glu/Gln, and anxiety in AOR versus PDR rats after chronic predator stress, suggesting a possible endogenous calming psychophysiological mechanism in the AOR phenotype. In addition, Lact levels were elevated in the amygdala of AOR compared to PDR animals, which would be consistent with increased metabolic demand (Riske et al., 2017) and/or hypoxia (Schurr and Rigor, 1998) as might be expected with a more "active" (AOR) versus passive (PDR) behavioral phenotype. Interestingly, time in the open-arm of the maze (indicating less-anxious behavior) was positively correlated with lactate levels in the amygdala of AOR rats, suggesting a possible link between the behavioral phenotype and physiology. Such changes were not found in the hippocampus, suggesting this may be a local rather than global phenomena, and future studies in additional brain regions can shed further light on the regional versus global nature of these findings.

The progression from homeostasis to allostatic overload has been well established and includes hormonal, structural and epigenetic changes (Mathew et al., 2001; MacMillan et al., 2003; Morris et al., 2012; Klengel et al., 2013; Holmes et al., 2017). As evidence of increased dendritic remodeling in the hippocampus during allostatic load, it has been shown that dendritic remodeling can be blocked by phenytoin, which in turn inhibits Glu release and antagonizes sodium and likely T-type calcium channels that are activated during glutamate-induced excitation (Korte et al., 2005).

At first glance, our results showing decreased CORT levels in AOR rats may appear to conflict with those findings. However, the recurrent nature of the predator stress stimuli in our study suggests a switch from increased glucocorticoid levels in response to the acute stress, to an allostatic state with decreased glucocorticoid levels

following continued predator stress. We propose these allostatic states may be described as: 1) an allostatic flight/fight response mechanism, and 2) an allostatic freezing/passive response mechanism. Others (Bowen et al., 2014) have referred to these adaption processes as "active and passive coping styles." However, we suggest that the endogenous/biological nature of these adaption states are not adequately reflected by the term "coping styles," as hormonal changes, dendritic remodeling, and gene methylation processes occur. The physiological changes seen within these two threat-induced behavior responses (AOR and PDR) allowed us to assess the allostatic load in behaviorally active versus passive animals (whose stress responses require minimal physical or physiological exertion).

The amygdala is a glucocorticoid-responsive structure, lower CORT levels lead to lower amygdala activity, and glutamatergic neurons mediate amygdala excitation (McEwen, 2017). We posit that lower Glu levels in the AOR animals may represent low level activity of glutamatergic neurons in the amygdala, whereas the higher Glu levels in PDR rats may reflect dendritic remodeling not only in the hippocampus (McEwen et al., 2016) but also in the amygdala.

Reduced CORT appears to be associated with less freezing behavior (Takahashi and Rubin, 1993), and increased CORT is associated with freezing behavior in non-stressed rhesus monkeys (Kalin et al., 1998). Both those studies are consistent with our findings of lower CORT in AOR (i.e. non-freezing) rats, suggesting dampened LHPA axis responsiveness to fear. This physiological reaction may occur via gene methylation processes (Klengel et al., 2013; Holmes, et al., 2017), although our changes in neurotransmitters (e.g. Glu/Gln) are also consistent with the time scale of the observed LHPA axis down regulation in AOR rats.

Historically, some have postulated that corticosteroids and the sympathomimetic amines have analogous roles because their multiple action sites and the nature of their induced responses are often similar (Ramey and Goldstein, 1957). However, while their roles are complementary and integrative, they are not interchangeable. Many actions of the sympathomimetic amines are not elicited in the absence of corticosteroids. Steroids maintain the integrity and responsiveness of tissues that are in the process of reacting to the sympathomimetic amines. This relationship is best seen on exposure to stress, when lower steroid levels may be elicited by heightened sympathetic-medullary activity. In the absence of

corticosteroids, responses to neurohormones are diminished, and the deleterious effects of adrenal insufficiency are amplified.

Given the closely intertwined roles of the sympathomimetic amines and glucocorticoids, theoretically it would be conceivable that the glucocorticoids may also have roles analogous to sympathetic functions, and vice-versa the sympathomimetic amines may have roles analogous to parasympathetic functions. Mounting evidence in recent years suggests an interaction between the adrenal medulla and adrenal cortex, and an influence of adrenal innervations on adrenocortical functions (Ehrhart-Bornstein et al., 1998). Additionally, glutamatergic hippocampal giant mossy fiber terminals (MFTs) play a key role after chronic restraint stress, along with multiple interacting mediators of neuronal remodeling that include brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (McEwen et al., 2016). With respect to cholinergic modulation of amygdala circuits in the formation and retention of fear memories (Jiang et al., 2016), we postulate that **excitatory and inhibitory glutamatergic functions may exist within the ANS in anxiety-related disorders.**

Additionally, the effects of higher physical activity include increased glucose metabolism and, in extreme stress, increased lactate levels, which also ensure that brain and muscle metabolism can continue when glucose levels fall. Increased glucose metabolism in the amygdala of depressed subjects, and the positive effect of exercise in subjects with fatigue, suggest possible antidepressant effects of Lact (Magistretti & Allaman, 2018; Mustian et al., 2017). We found increased Lact levels in our AOR rats, **as might be expected with this more "physically active" behavioral phenotype.**

Limitations and Future Directions

In this study, we found that rats demonstrating an AOR to chronic predator stress have less anxiety behavior, lower plasma corticosterone levels, and higher lactate and lower glutamate/glutamine levels in amygdala, compared to rats demonstrating a PDR to chronic predator stress. Integrating these results with supporting studies and existing literature, one possible explanation is that AOR rats enter an allostatic state in which adaptive autonomic nervous system responses serve to calm the animal and restore homeostasis following chronic fear and stress. However

our current data cannot exclude the possibility that animals stratify into AOR and PDR groups on the basis of their pre-existing underlying physiologies – i.e. perhaps AOR rats have "less anxious" physiology and neurochemistry/neurocircuitry to begin with. Therefore follow-up studies will incorporate baseline reads for all animals, to help clarify whether the observed physiologic differences, suggestive of allostatic adaptive states, exist prior to or newly accompany the AOR versus PDR behavioral phenotypes. These studies, together with appropriate blockade studies, will provide a clearer picture of which physiological changes may be causal versus consequential to the AOR versus PDR behavioral phenotypes. We also do not know and cannot predict the evolutionary or "survival" consequences of AOR versus PDR phenotypes in a natural environment: perhaps AOR rats would be more likely to get eaten (which would make for a poor and evolutionarily short-lived adaptive strategy)! Finally, we will look for similar physiological changes in other brain areas involved in the fear response, such as the hypothalamus and prefrontal cortex (Steimer, 2002).

Conclusions

Dealing with acute and chronic stress is an evolutionary challenge that affects all of us. Allostasis (from allostatic load to allostatic states) leads to decreased Glu/Gln levels, an effect also observed in our over-stressed AOR rats. Based on this and other data shown herein, we posit that allostasis may be a protective mechanism in rats for adapting to chronic stress, and further studies are warranted to **expand these findings in rodents, and** determine whether similar mechanisms exist for dealing with chronic stress in humans. Chronic stress affects not only our mental health and well-being, but also our physical health, and plays multiple roles in anxiety, depression, and other psychiatric disorders, as well as diabetes, cardiovascular and neurologic diseases, and a range of inflammatory and metabolic conditions. The body and the brain have substantial capacity for adaptive plasticity, thus all changes described here are not necessarily irreversible (Korte et al., 2005). Our results provide new insights into the integrated roles of the autonomic and central nervous systems in regulating mammalian stress responses.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant #17-15-013418), and institutional funds from the State University of New York (SUNY) Upstate

Medical University. This is a TransCampus project. This work was implemented using the equipment of the Center for Genetic Resources of Laboratory Animals at ICG SB-RAS, supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia (Unique ID# of the project: RFMEFI62117X0015).

We thank Professor Bruce S. McEwen (Rockefeller University, New York) for his critical input and review.

Author contributions

E.U. and V.T. organized the study, analyzed the data, drafted the manuscript, and prepared the figures and tables.

E.Z.+O.B.S.+N.V.K.+G.V.K.+A.S.K.+M.P.M.+O.P.C. collected the samples and analyzed the biomarkers in Novosibirsk

M.S.L.+M.V.K.+I.V.F.+O..T. designed the work plan together with the corresponding author (E.U.) and the team leader (V.T.), and formulated the scientific questions.

C.K.+S.R.B.+K.B.+E.J.+S.W.P.+J.L.+M.L.W.+E.D. provided intellectual and scientific input, data interpretation, and/or revised the manuscript.

All authors reviewed the manuscript.

There was no other personal, financial or material support.

All authors declare that there are no financial interests and no conflicts of interest.


References

- Adamec, R., Fougere, D., and Risbrough, V. (2010). CRF receptor blockade prevents initiation and consolidation of stress effects on affect in the predator stress model of PTSD. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 13, 747-757.
- Adamec, R.E., Burton, P., Shallow, T., and Budgell, J. (1999). NMDA receptors mediate lasting increases in anxiety-like behavior produced by the stress of predator exposure--implications for anxiety associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Physiol Behav* 65, 723-737.
- Averill, L.A., Purohit, P., Averill, C.L., Boesl, M.A., Krystal, J.H., and Abdallah, C.G. (2017). Glutamate dysregulation and glutamatergic therapeutics for PTSD: Evidence from human studies. *Neurosci Lett* 649, 147-155.
- Azevedo, T.M., Volchan, E., Imbiriba, L.A., Rodrigues, E.C., Oliveira, J.M., Oliveira, L.F., Lutterbach, L.G., and Vargas, C.D. (2005). A freezing-like posture to pictures of mutilation. *Psychophysiology* 42, 255-260.
- Bowen, M.T., Dass, S.A., Booth, J., Suraev, A., Vyas, A., and McGregor, I.S. (2014). Active coping toward predatory stress is associated with lower corticosterone and progesterone plasma levels and decreased methylation in the medial amygdala vasopressin system. *Horm Behav* 66, 561-566.
- Buss, K.A., Davidson, R.J., Kalin, N.H., and Goldsmith, H.H. (2004). Context-specific freezing and associated physiological reactivity as a dysregulated fear response. *Dev Psychol* 40, 583-594.
- Champagne, D.L., Bagot, R.C., Van Hasselt, F., Ramakers, G., Meaney, M.J., De Kloet, E.R., Joels, M., and Krugers, H. (2008). Maternal care and hippocampal plasticity: evidence for experience-dependent structural plasticity, altered synaptic functioning, and differential responsiveness to glucocorticoids and stress. *J Neurosci* 28, 6037-6045.
- Cohen, H., Matar, M.A., Buskila, D., Kaplan, Z., and Zohar, J. (2008). Early post-stressor intervention with high-dose corticosterone attenuates posttraumatic stress response in an animal model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 64, 708-717.
- De Kloet, E.R., Vreugdenhil, E., Oitzl, M.S., and Joels, M. (1998). Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocr Rev* 19, 269-301.
- Ehrhart-Bornstein, M., Hinson, J.P., Bornstein, S.R., Scherbaum, W.A., and Vinson, G.P. (1998). Intraadrenal interactions in the regulation of adrenocortical steroidogenesis. *Endocr Rev* 19, 101-143.
- Estanislau, C. (2012). Cues to the usefulness of grooming behavior in the evaluation of anxiety in the elevated plus-maze. *Psychology & Neuroscience* 5, 105-112.
- Gruetter, R. (1993). Automatic, localized in vivo adjustment of all first- and second-order shim coils. *Magn Reson Med* 29, 804-811.
- Hagenaars, M.A., Oitzl, M., and Roelofs, K. (2014). Updating freeze: aligning animal and human research. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 47, 165-176.
- Hanson, J.L., Chung, M.K., Avants, B.B., Shirtcliff, E.A., Gee, J.C., Davidson, R.J., and Pollak, S.D. (2010). Early stress is associated with alterations in the orbitofrontal cortex: a tensor-based morphometry investigation of brain structure and behavioral risk. *J Neurosci* 30, 7466-7472.
- Holmes, S.E., Girgenti, M.J., Davis, M.T., Pietrzak, R.H., Dellagioia, N., Nabulsi, N., Matuskey, D., Southwick, S., Duman, R.S., Carson, R.E., Krystal, J.H., Esterlis, I., and Traumatic Stress Brain Study, G. (2017). Altered metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 markers in PTSD: In vivo and postmortem evidence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114, 8390-8395.
- Jiang, L., Kundu, S., Lederman, J.D., Lopez-Hernandez, G.Y., Ballinger, E.C., Wang, S., Talmage, D.A., and Role, L.W. (2016). Cholinergic Signaling Controls Conditioned Fear Behaviors and Enhances Plasticity of Cortical-Amygdala Circuits. *Neuron* 90, 1057-1070.
- Kalin, N.H., Shelton, S.E., Rickman, M., and Davidson, R.J. (1998). Individual differences in freezing and cortisol in infant and mother rhesus monkeys. *Behav Neurosci* 112, 251-254.
- Klengel, T., Mehta, D., Anacker, C., Rex-Haffner, M., Pruessner, J.C., Pariante, C.M., Pace, T.W., Mercer, K.B., Mayberg, H.S., Bradley, B., Nemeroff, C.B., Holsboer, F., Heim, C.M., Ressler,

- K.J., Rein, T., and Binder, E.B. (2013). Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation mediates gene-childhood trauma interactions. *Nat Neurosci* 16, 33-41.
- Koob, G.F., and Le Moal, M. (2001). Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 24, 97-129.
- Korte, S.M., Koolhaas, J.M., Wingfield, J.C., and McEwen, B.S. (2005). The Darwinian concept of stress: benefits of allostasis and costs of allostatic load and the trade-offs in health and disease. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 29, 3-38.
- Lapiz-Bluhm, M.D., Bondi, C.O., Doyen, J., Rodriguez, G.A., Bedard-Arana, T., and Morilak, D.A. (2008). Behavioural assays to model cognitive and affective dimensions of depression and anxiety in rats. *J Neuroendocrinol* 20, 1115-1137.
- Le Moene, O., and Agmo, A. (2017). The neuroendocrinology of sexual attraction. *Front Neuroendocrinol*.
- Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., Sharma, S., Pearson, D., Plotsky, P.M., and Meaney, M.J. (1997). Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *Science* 277, 1659-1662.
- Macmillan, S., Szeszko, P.R., Moore, G.J., Madden, R., Lorch, E., Ivey, J., Banerjee, S.P., and Rosenberg, D.R. (2003). Increased amygdala: hippocampal volume ratios associated with severity of anxiety in pediatric major depression. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 13, 65-73.
- Magistretti, P.J., and Allaman, I. (2018). Lactate in the brain: from metabolic end-product to signalling molecule. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 19, 235-249.
- Mathew, S.J., Coplan, J.D., Schoepp, D.D., Smith, E.L., Rosenblum, L.A., and Gorman, J.M. (2001). Glutamate-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis interactions: implications for mood and anxiety disorders. *CNS Spectr* 6, 555-556, 561-554.
- McEwen, B.S. (2005). Stressed or stressed out: what is the difference? *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 30, 315-318.
- McEwen, B.S. (2017). Neurobiological and Systemic Effects of Chronic Stress. *Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks)* 1.
- McEwen, B.S., Nasca, C., and Gray, J.D. (2016). Stress Effects on Neuronal Structure: Hippocampus, Amygdala, and Prefrontal Cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 3-23.
- Morimoto, M., Morita, N., Ozawa, H., Yokoyama, K., and Kawata, M. (1996). Distribution of glucocorticoid receptor immunoreactivity and mRNA in the rat brain: an immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization study. *Neurosci Res* 26, 235-269.
- Morris, M.C., Compas, B.E., and Garber, J. (2012). Relations among posttraumatic stress disorder, comorbid major depression, and HPA function: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 32, 301-315.
- Moshkin, M.P., Akulov, A.E., Petrovski, D.V., Saik, O.V., Petrovskiy, E.D., Savelov, A.A., and Koptug, I.V. (2014). Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy of brain metabolic shifts induced by acute administration of 2-deoxy-d-glucose and lipopolysaccharides. *NMR Biomed* 27, 399-405.
- Mustian, K.M., Alfano, C.M., Heckler, C., Kleckner, A.S., Kleckner, I.R., Leach, C.R., Mohr, D., Palesh, O.G., Peppone, L.J., Piper, B.F., Scarpato, J., Smith, T., Sprod, L.K., and Miller, S.M. (2017). Comparison of Pharmaceutical, Psychological, and Exercise Treatments for Cancer-Related Fatigue: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol* 3, 961-968.
- Provencher, S.W. (1993). Estimation of metabolite concentrations from localized in vivo proton NMR spectra. *Magn Reson Med* 30, 672-679.
- Rainey, J.M., Jr., Aleem, A., Ortiz, A., Yeragani, V., Pohl, R., and Berchou, R. (1987). A laboratory procedure for the induction of flashbacks. *Am J Psychiatry* 144, 1317-1319.
- Ramey, E.R., and Goldstein, M.S. (1957). The adrenal cortex and the sympathetic nervous system. *Physiol Rev* 37, 155-195.
- Ramsay, D.S., and Woods, S.C. (2014). Clarifying the roles of homeostasis and allostasis in physiological regulation. *Psychol Rev* 121, 225-247.

- Riske, L., Thomas, R.K., Baker, G.B., and Dursun, S.M. (2017). Lactate in the brain: an update on its relevance to brain energy, neurons, glia and panic disorder. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol* 7, 85-89.
- Rizvi, S.L., Kaysen, D., Gutner, C.A., Griffin, M.G., and Resick, P.A. (2008). Beyond fear: the role of peritraumatic responses in posttraumatic stress and depressive symptoms among female crime victims. *J Interpers Violence* 23, 853-868.
- Rogatzki, M.J., Ferguson, B.S., Goodwin, M.L., and Gladden, L.B. (2015). Lactate is always the end product of glycolysis. *Front Neurosci* 9, 22.
- Sarrieau, A., Dussaillant, M., Agid, F., Philibert, D., Agid, Y., and Rostene, W. (1986). Autoradiographic localization of glucocorticosteroid and progesterone binding sites in the human post-mortem brain. *J Steroid Biochem* 25, 717-721.
- Schurr, A., and Rigor, B.M. (1998). Brain anaerobic lactate production: a suicide note or a survival kit? *Dev Neurosci* 20, 348-357.
- Schwabe, L., Dalm, S., Schachinger, H., and Oitzl, M.S. (2008). Chronic stress modulates the use of spatial and stimulus-response learning strategies in mice and man. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 90, 495-503.
- Schwabe, L., Oitzl, M.S., Philippson, C., Richter, S., Bohringer, A., Wippich, W., and Schachinger, H. (2007). Stress modulates the use of spatial versus stimulus-response learning strategies in humans. *Learn Mem* 14, 109-116.
- Schwabe, L., and Wolf, O.T. (2013). Stress and multiple memory systems: from 'thinking' to 'doing'. *Trends Cogn Sci* 17, 60-68.
- Seeman, T.E., Singer, B.H., Rowe, J.W., Horwitz, R.I., and McEwen, B.S. (1997). Price of adaptation--allostatic load and its health consequences. MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Arch Intern Med* 157, 2259-2268.
- Serova, L.I., Laukova, M., Alaluf, L.G., Pucillo, L., and Sabban, E.L. (2014). Intranasal neuropeptide Y reverses anxiety and depressive-like behavior impaired by single prolonged stress PTSD model. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 24, 142-147.
- Steimer, T. (2002). The biology of fear- and anxiety-related behaviors. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 4, 231-249.
- Takahashi, L.K., and Rubin, W.W. (1993). Corticosteroid induction of threat-induced behavioral inhibition in preweanling rats. *Behav Neurosci* 107, 860-866.
- Tkac, I., Starcuk, Z., Choi, I.Y., and Gruetter, R. (1999). In vivo ¹H NMR spectroscopy of rat brain at 1 ms echo time. *Magn Reson Med* 41, 649-656.
- Ullmann, E., Licinio, J., Perry, S.W., White, L.O., Klein, A., Barthel, A., Petrowski, K., Stalder, T., Oratovski, B., Von Klitzing, K., Bornstein, S., and Kirschbaum, C. (2018). Inherited Anxiety-Related Parent-Infant Dyads Alter LHPA Activity. *Stress* in press.
- Vogel, S., Klumpers, F., Schroder, T.N., Oplaat, K.T., Krugers, H.J., Oitzl, M.S., Joels, M., Doeller, C.F., and Fernandez, G. (2017). Stress Induces a Shift Towards Striatum-Dependent Stimulus-Response Learning via the Mineralocorticoid Receptor. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 42, 1262-1271.

Figure 1: Endogenous mechanisms to deal with chronic anxiety-stress



Legend: I) One of two possible survival behavioral phenotypes may occur after a fear triggering event (lightning bolt) generated in the limbic system; II) Two physiological mechanisms to deal with danger; III) Fast neural response with release of neurotransmitters (adrenaline/noradrenaline) followed by symptoms of excitation; IV) Hormonal activation by the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis with release of corticosterone; V) Slow neural response with activation of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems and their neurotransmitters (Ach, Glu); VI) Ach and Glu with sympathetic (exciting) as well parasympathetic (calming) effects; red lines = excitatory pathways, green lines = inhibitory pathways

— Excitatory Pathway
— Inhibitory Pathway

Figure 2: Voxel position during ^1H MRS of the amygdala.




Figure 3: Voxel position during ^1H MRS of the hippocampus.




Figure 4: The process of spectral fitting and baseline correction.






Figure 5a: Long-term consequences of PSS on anxiety index (AI) in chronically stressed rats



Legend: PDR=passive defensive response; AOR=active offensive response; ordinate scale represents the $AI = 1 - [(seconds\ in\ open\ arms / seconds\ on\ maze) + (number\ of\ entries\ into\ open\ arms / number\ of\ all\ entries)] / 2$; * $P < 0.005$ AOR rats (N=9) in comparison with control (N=8), # $P < 0.005$ AOR rats in comparison with PDR rats (N=11).

Figure 5b: Long-term consequences of PSS on plasma CORT levels



Legend: PDR=passive defensive response; AOR=active offensive response; * $P < 0.05$ AOR rats (N=9) in comparison with control (N=8), # $P < 0.05$ AOR rats in comparison with PDR rats (N=11)

Figure 5c/d: Long-term consequences of PSS on *in vivo* amygdala (c) Lactate and (d) Glutamate-Glutamine concentrations.




Figure 6a/b: Long-term consequences of PSS on *in vivo* hippocampus (a) Lactate and (b) Glutamate-Glutamine concentrations.





Figure 7: Spectral fitting (Proton-MRS) of metabolites in the amygdala of one representative rat from each of the three behavioral subtypes.



Legend: N-acetylaspartate (NAA); phosphorylethanolamine (PEA); choline compounds (Cho); creatine (Cr); alanine (Ala); lactate (Lact); glutamate + glutamine (Glu + Gln); aspartate (Asp); γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA); glycine (Gly); and taurine (Tau). Red spectrum = PDR rat; blue spectrum = control rat (no chronic stress); green spectrum = AOR rat; ppm = parts per million; y-axis represents relative units in percentage; double peaks results from protons with different chemical environments.

Table 1: Behavior of the rats during exposure to cat urine

Passive Defensive Response (PDR)	Control	Active Offensive Response (AOR)
Grooming behavior	Animals move freely within the cage with neutral scent	Actively smelling the stimulus throughout the exposure; occasionally licking and/or biting the Petri dish where the urine is located
"Freezing" in one place for more than 10 seconds to a few minutes		Attempting to tear the protective material (tear-resistant nylon stocking) to get at the source of the odor
Escaping to the furthest corner of the cage, with head positioned furthest away from the source of the smell (Petri dish)		Marking territory by urinating and/or "climbing" the stimulus - the rat sits on top of the Petri dish with the stimulus
Trying to hide under or behind another animal		